

Holly A. House (SB# 136045)
hollyhouse@paulhastings.com
Sophie J. Sung (SB# 279056)
sophiesung@paulhastings.com
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
55 Second Street
Twenty-Fourth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-3441
Telephone: (415) 856-7000
Facsimile: (415) 856-7100

Richard L. Brophy (*pro hac vice*)
rbrophy@armstrongteasdale.com
David W. Harlan (*pro hac vice*)
dharlan@armstrongteasdale.com
Charles W. Steese (*pro hac vice*)
csteese@armstrongteasdale.com
Mark A. Thomas (*pro hac vice*)
mathomas@armstrongteasdale.com
Zachary C. Howenstine (*pro hac vice*)
zhowenstine@armstrongteasdale.com
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
7700 Forsyth Blvd. Suite 1800
St. Louis, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 621-5070
Facsimile: (314) 621-5065

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:15-cv-03424

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE A
RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION
TO DISMISS AND FOR DEFENDANT TO
FILE A REPLY**

Date: November 6, 2015
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Location: Courtroom G
Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1, the parties stipulate to an extension of nine days, up to and
2 including October 9, 2015, for Plaintiff Cave Consulting Group, Inc. to file its Opposition to
3 Defendant OptumInsight, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss. In addition, the parties stipulate to an
4 extension of seven days, up to and including October 23, 2015, for Defendant OptumInsight, Inc.
5 to file its Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss.

6 Defendant's Motion to Dismiss was filed on September 16, 2015. Plaintiff's deadline for
7 responding to the Motion, without the extension, is therefore September 30, 2015. Defendant's
8 deadline for filing a reply, without the extension, is seven days after the Plaintiff's opposition is
9 due. Civil L.R. 7-3.

10 These extensions will not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by
11 Court Order. The hearing on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and the Case Management
12 Conference are currently scheduled for November 6, 2015.

13 Accordingly,

14 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties that the time for Plaintiff to
15 file its Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss is extended to **October 9, 2015**, and the
16 time for Defendant to file its Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss is extended to **October**
17 **23, 2015**.

1 Dated: September 22, 2015

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

2
3 By: /s/ Richard L. Brophy

Richard L. Brophy

4 David W. Harlan

Charles W. Steese

5 Mark A. Thomas

Zachary C. Howenstine

6 PAUL HASTINGS LLP

7 Holly A. House

8 Sophie J. Sung

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff

CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.

10 Dated: September 22, 2015

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

11
12 By: /s/ J. Thomas Vitt

13 Peter M. Lancaster

J. Thomas Vitt

14 Patricia A. Welch

15 Forrest Tahdooahnippah

David A. Couillard

16 Attorneys for Defendant

17 OPTUMINSIGHT, INC.

18
19 Dated: 9/24/15

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 /s/ Joseph C. Spero

21 Chief Magistrate Judge

ATTESTATION OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the undersigned filer of this document attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the signatories.

Dated: September 22, 2015

ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP

By: /s/ Richard L. Brophy

Richard L. Brophy

David W. Harlan

Charles W. Steese

Mark A. Thomas

Zachary C. Howenstine

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

Holly A. House

Sophie J. Sung

Attorneys for Plaintiff

CAVE CONSULTING GROUP, INC.